UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/827,572         | 04/19/2004                              | Lowell L. Wood JR.   | SE1-0034-US          | 3210             |
|                    | 7590 01/05/201<br><b>aw Group, PLLC</b> | EXAMINER             |                      |                  |
| P.O. Box 220       | •                                       |                      | CAMPBELL, VICTORIA P |                  |
| Tracyton, WA 98393 |   |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                    |   |                      | 3763                 |                  |
|                    |   |                      |                      |                  |
|                    |   |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |   |                      | 01/05/2010           | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. 10/827,572 |          | Applicant(s)    |  |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------------|--|
|                            |          | WOOD, LOWELL L. |  |
|                            |          |                 |  |
|                            | Examiner | Art Unit        |  |

|                                  |   | VIOTORIJATI : OKIVII BELE   | 6766  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                                  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address  |
| THE                              | REPLY FILED <u>09 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F  | OR ALLOWANCE.   |
| 1. 🛚                             | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Operiods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request                |
| a)                               | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | g date of the final rejection.  |   |
| b)                               | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | date of the final rejection.  |
| have<br>under<br>set fo<br>may r | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL   | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
|                                  | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f   | filed within two months of the date of  |
|                                  | filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water and the filed water filed water filed by the filed water filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a  |
| 3. 🛚                             | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT  |   |
|                                  | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially rec  |   |
|                                  | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected claims.   |
| 4. 🗆                             |   |   | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)  |
| 5. 🗀                             | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |   | inpliant Amenament (1 102-324).   |
| 6.                               |   |   | imely filed amendment canceling the   |
| 7. 🛚                             | how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-34 and 66-68.   |   | l be entered and an explanation of  |
| ΔFFI                             | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>35-65</u> . DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |
|                                  | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |   |
|                                  | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented.  Se  | al and/or appellant fails to provide a<br>se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).                          |
|                                  | ] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attached.  |
|                                  | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | it does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowance because:  |
|                                  | Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |
|                                  | cholas D Lucchesi/<br>ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763   |   |   |
|                                  |   |   |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations presented by applicant in claim 1 (that the device is configured for full placement in vivo and that the retractable segments of the extensible finger can be controlled by the control circuitry without physical access by an individual are new issues that will require further search and consideration by the examiner.